



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/675,913

09/29/2003

Petros Belimpasakis

915-010.008

2083

4955

7590

02/04/2009

WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP
BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5
755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224
MONROE, CT 06468

EXAMINER

CHEEMA, UMAR

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2444

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/04/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/675,913	Applicant(s) BELIMPASAKIS, PETROS	
	Examiner UMAR CHEEMA	Art Unit 2444	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 November 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4-17,19-30,33 and 35 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4-17,19-30,33 and 35 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/19/2008 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 4-17, 19-30, 33 and 35 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

3. Claims 1-2, 4-17, 19-30, 33 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takagi et al. (Takagi) (US Patent # 6,091,733) in view of Sturniolo et al (Sturniolo) (US 6,201,962).

4. Regarding claim 1, Takagi substantially discloses the invention as claimed a method comprising: establishing a local connection in a terminal device between an application client and a proxy module according to a local access profile associated with the application client; selecting an access point among a plurality of access points in the terminal device; and establishing a further connection between the proxy module and a remote server of a network through the selected access point so as to establish a communication connection between the terminal device and the network for the application client, wherein each access point connects with the network using a respective transport bearer (see abstract, col. 3, lines 50-65, figure 2 and details associated, see col. col. 4, lines 45-57, col. 9, lines 32-39, col. 1, lines 65-col. 2 line 29; transport data unit containing data as contained in the first transport layer protocol data unit and a second interface for outputting the second transport layer protocol data unit to a network).

5. Takagi substantially discloses the invention as claimed for the given reason above but does not explicitly disclose wherein said establishing a local connection between the proxy module and a remote server of a network through the selected access point so as to establish a communication connection between the terminal device. However in the same field of invention Sturniolo discloses wherein said establishing local connection between the proxy module and a remote server of a

Art Unit: 2444

network through the selected access point so as to establish a communication connection between the terminal device (see abstract, col. 1, line 51-col. 2, line 20, figures 1-2 and details associated, col. 2-line 65- col. 4, line 13).

6. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill person in the art of networking to combine the teaching of Takagi and Sturniolo for a transport layer communication system. Motivation for doing so would have been that the system makes communication between multiple access points reliable and secure.

7. Regarding claim 2, Takagi discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the communication connection of terminal device and the network is via an air interface (see col. 3, lines 50-59, figure 2 (IF interface_500,510)).

8. Regarding claim 3, (Canceled).

9. Regarding claim 4, Takagi discloses the method of claim 3, wherein the local connection and the further connection are client-server based connections (see col. 8, lines 22-25; figures 9-10; communication between server terminal and client terminal).

10. Regarding claim 5, Takagi discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the proxy module provides at least one additional service for the application client or for the user of the device (see col. 6, lines 9-17).

11. Regarding claim 6, Takagi discloses the method of claim 5, wherein the provided additional service comprises selecting a network interface to be used in the case where more than one network interface is available (see col. 2, lines 5-15).

Art Unit: 2444

12. Regarding claim 7, Takagi discloses the method of claim 5, wherein the provided additional service comprises a service for selecting a bearer for crossing an air interface (see col. 3, lines 50-59, figure 2).

13. Regarding claim 8, Takagi discloses the method of claim 7, wherein the bearer operates in the protocol stack on a layer lower than a transport layer (see col. 6, lines 9-17).

14. Regarding claim 9, Takagi discloses the method of claim 6, wherein selecting the access point is performed based on information which comprises at least one of the following: network availability, user-defined rules, time, location, cost (see col. 6, lines 20-25; time period required for transmitting the segments).

15. Regarding claim 10, Takagi discloses the method of claim 5, wherein the provided additional service comprises providing a network interface not natively supported by an operating system of the device (see col. 1, lines 66-67, col. 2, lines 1-4).

16. Regarding claim 11, Takagi discloses the method of claim 5, wherein the provided additional service comprises providing support for multiple users (see col. 1, lines 38-40; server to clients).

17. Regarding claim 12, Takagi discloses the method of claim 11, wherein support for multiple users is implemented via a set of predefined user profiles (see col. 5, lines 63-67).

18. Regarding claim 13, Takagi discloses the method of claim 5, wherein the provided additional service comprises receiving information indicative of a change in a

Art Unit: 2444

remote server address and modifying the remote server address at the terminal device by the proxy module, whereby no modification in the application client is needed (see col. 4, lines 45-57, col. 9, lines 32-50).

19. Regarding claim 14, Takagi discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the application client is an e-mail client, web browser or another end-user application (see col. 1, lines 10-16, figure 1).

20. Regarding claim 15, Takagi discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the transport layer is implemented by Transmission Control Protocol (see col. 4, lines 4-6, figure 3; TCP relay unit).

21. Regarding claim 16, Takagi substantially discloses the invention as claimed an apparatus, comprising: a plurality of access interfaces, each configured to connect the apparatus with a network using a respective transport bearer; a storage medium configured to store a plurality of application clients for use by the apparatus, and a proxy module, configured to establish a local connection between an application client and the proxy module according to a local access profile associated with the application client, select an access interface among the plurality of access interfaces in the apparatus, and establish a further connection between the proxy module and a remote server of a network through the selected access point so as to establish a communication connection between the apparatus and the network for the application client (see abstract, col. 3, lines 50-65, figure 2 and details associated, see col. 4, lines 45-57, col. 9, lines 32-39, col. 1, lines 65-col. 2 line 29; transport data unit containing data as

Art Unit: 2444

contained in the first transport layer protocol data unit and a second interface for outputting the second transport layer protocol data unit to a network).

22. Takagi substantially discloses the invention as claimed for the given reason above but does not explicitly disclose wherein said establishing a local connection between the proxy module and a remote server of a network through the selected access point so as to establish a communication connection between the terminal device. However in the same field of invention Sturniolo discloses wherein said establishing local connection between the proxy module and a remote server of a network through the selected access point so as to establish a communication connection between the terminal device (see abstract, col. 1, line 51-col. 2, line 20, figures 1-2 and details associated, col. 2-line 65- col. 4, line 13).

23. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill person in the art of networking to combine the teaching of Takagi and Sturniolo for a transport layer communication system. Motivation for doing so would have been that the system makes communication between multiple access points reliable and secure.

24. Regarding claims 17-30, the limitations of this claim has already been addressed (see claims 2-15 above for detail rejection).

25. Regarding claims 31-32, (Canceled).

26. Regarding claim 33, Takagi substantially discloses the invention as claimed a computer program product comprising a computer readable storage medium storing program thereon for use by a communication device, wherein the program code comprises: instructions for establish a local connection in the communication device

Art Unit: 2444

between an application client and a proxy module according to a local access profile associated with the application client, instructions for selecting an access point among a plurality of access points in the communication device, and instructions for establishing a further communication connection between the device proxy module and a remote server of a network using through the selected said-access point so as to establish a communication connection between the communication device and the network for the application client, wherein each access point connects with the network using a respective transport bearer (see abstract, col. 3, lines 50-65, figure 2 and details associated, see col. col. 4, lines 45-57, col. 9, lines 32-39, col. 1, lines 65-col. 2 line 29; transport data unit containing data as contained in the first transport layer protocol data unit and a second interface for outputting the second transport layer protocol data unit to a network).

27. Takagi substantially discloses the invention as claimed for the given reason above but does not explicitly disclose wherein said establishing a local connection between the proxy module and a remote server of a network through the selected access point so as to establish a communication connection between the terminal device. However in the same field of invention Sturniolo discloses wherein said establishing local connection between the proxy module and a remote server of a network through the selected access point so as to establish a communication connection between the terminal device (see abstract, col. 1, line 51-col. 2, line 20, figures 1-2 and details associated, col. 2-line 65- col. 4, line 13).

Art Unit: 2444

28. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill person in the art of networking to combine the teaching of Takagi and Sturniolo for a transport layer communication system. Motivation for doing so would have been that the system makes communication between multiple access points reliable and secure.

29. Regarding claim, 34 (Canceled).

30. Regarding claim 35, Takagi substantially discloses the invention as claimed an apparatus, comprising: a plurality of access means, each for connecting the apparatus with a network using a respective transport bearer; means for storing a plurality of application clients for use by the means for establishing a local connection with an application client according to a local access profile associated with the application client; means for selecting an access means among the plurality of access means in the apparatus, and means for establishing a further connection to a remote server of a network through the selected access means so as to establish a communication connection between the apparatus and the network for the application client (see abstract, col. 3, lines 50-65, figure 2 and details associated, see col. col. 4, lines 45-57, col. 9, lines 32-39, col. 1, lines 65-col. 2 line 29; transport data unit containing data as contained in the first transport layer protocol data unit and a second interface for outputting the second transport layer protocol data unit to a network).

31. Takagi substantially discloses the invention as claimed for the given reason above but does not explicitly disclose wherein said establishing a local connection between the proxy module and a remote server of a network through the selected access point so as to establish a communication connection between the terminal

Art Unit: 2444

device. However in the same field of invention Sturniolo discloses wherein said establishing local connection between the proxy module and a remote server of a network through the selected access point so as to establish a communication connection between the terminal device (see abstract, col. 1, line 51-col. 2, line 20, figures 1-2 and details associated, col. 2-line 65- col. 4, line 13).

32. It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill person in the art of networking to combine the teaching of Takagi and Sturniolo for a transport layer communication system. Motivation for doing so would have been that the system makes communication between multiple access points reliable and secure.

Conclusion

33. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to UMAR CHEEMA whose telephone number is (571)270-3037. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Jr. Vaughn can be reached on 571-272-3922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2444

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/U. C./

Examiner, Art Unit 2444

/William C. Vaughn, Jr./

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444